IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION No. 667 OF 2006

Gulab Mahadeo Petkar
v.
Shankarrao Sitaram Umathe (through L.R. Vatsala & Ors.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Court's or Judge's orders

Mr.Mrs.Prabhu holding for Mr.Parchure Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mrs. A.S. Palsodkar Adv. for Respondent.

....

CORAM: A.B. CHAUDHARI, J.
DATED : 16th AUGUST, 2007.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. Courts below have concurrently held against the petitioner and also found that the second suit filed by the petitioner itself was barred. The learned counsel for respondent points out that in the other two suits decree has been passed in favour of respondent and the same has not been challenged. In the light of this fact situation, I

do not think that any useful purpose would be served by continuing the status quo granted by this Court. However, since the suit is of the year 1995, it will be better if its disposal is expedited.

Writ petition dismissed. The civil suit being of the year 1995, the trial Court is directed to dispose of Regular Civil Suit No. 164 of 1995 within a period of six months from the date of receipt of Writ of this Court.

JUDGE

/TA/